## Duopoly

Marginal cost remains $c$ and inverse demand remains

$$
a-b Q
$$

Instead of a monopoly there are two firms, firm 1 and firm 2, and firm $i$ produces $Q_{i}$, that is

$$
Q=Q_{1}+Q_{2}
$$

for illustrative purposes

$$
a=17, b=1, c=1
$$

so that the competitive solution is 16 units of output and the monopoly solution is 8 units of output
restrict the firms to produce either 4 units of output, or 5 units of output

## Profits

Profits of firm $i$

$$
(a-b Q) Q_{i}-c Q_{i}=\left[16-\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)\right] Q_{i}
$$

|  | Firm 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Firm 1 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 35,28 | 30,30 |

This is a simultaneous move matrix game

## Prisoner's Dilemma Game

prisoner's dilemma: two prisoners in separate cells accused of jointly committing a crime

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | don't confess | confess |
| don't confess | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| confess | 35,28 | 30,30 |

## Tragedy of the Commons

two fishermen and a single lake

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | light fishing | intense <br> fishing |
| light fishing | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| intense <br> fishing | 35,28 | 30,30 |

## Public Goods

contribute towards building a bridge

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | contribute | do not |
| contribute | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| do not | 35,28 | 30,30 |



## Pareto Dominance

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | contribute | do not |
| contribute | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| do not | 35,28 | 30,30 |

- $(32,32)$ pareto dominates $(30,30)$
- all players are at least as well off, and one is strictly better off
- question: does $(32,30)$ pareto dominate $(30,30)$
- does $(32,29)$ ?


## Externalities and Free Riding

Compare $(32,32)$ to $(28,35)$. Here we say that player 2 is being a free rider; player 2 gets the benefit of the bridge, but does not have to pay for it

We also say that by switching from contributing to not contributing, player 2 generates a negative externality for player 1 by reducing player 1's payoff; player 2 does not bear the full cost of his action, part of the loss is suffered by player 1

Conversely we say that by switching from $(28,35)$ to $(32,32)$ player 2 generates a positive externality for player 1

## Pareto Efficiency (Optimality)

an outcome that is NOT pareto dominated by any other outcome

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | contribute | do not |
| contribute | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| do not | 35,28 | 30,30 |

- $(32,32),(35,28),(28,35)$ are pareto efficient
- $(30,30)$ is not
- why?


## Dominant Strategies

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | contribute | do not |
| contribute | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| do not | 35,28 | 30,30 |

the strategy "do not contribute" is dominant because it gives at least as high a payoff regardless of opponent's play, and sometimes higher [warning: do not confuse the dominance of a strategy with pareto dominance]

## Weak versus Strict Dominance

weak dominance $=$ dominance
strict dominance a higher payoff no matter what the opponent does
in statistics a strategy that is not weakly dominated is called admissable

- $(32,28)$ are payoff to contribute
- $(35,30)$ strictly dominates
- How about $(35,28)$ ? $(35,27)$ ?


## Tragedy of the Commons

When both players play their dominant strategies the outcome is $(30,30)$ which is Pareto dominated by $(32,32)$
basic conflict between individual and social objectives

|  | Player 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player 1 | contribute | do not |
| contribute | 32,32 | 28,35 |
| do not | 35,28 | 30,30 |

## Do People Behave So Selfishly?

Pedro Dal Bo had participants play a Prisoner's Dilemma game ten times against different opponents

The final four times they played less than $5 \%$ contributed to the public good

We will see later that the "against different opponents" is pretty crucial here

Next: The Second Price Auction

## Auctions

- A central question in economics: how are prices set.
- In monopoly the question is how much money can the monopolist extract from buyers?
- A common method of price setting is to sell items by means of an auction.



## Two Types of Auctions

- English auction - announced bids, sold to highest bidder at the price bid (oral, first-price)
- Sealed bid second price - each buyer submits a single bid at the same time, sold to highest bidder at the second highest bid.
- Sealed bid second price = English auction - why?


## What to Bid in a Sealed Bid Second Price Auction

your value of the item $v$
the highest bid of someone else $p$ (you do not know this)
what do you get if you win? $v-p$
what do you get if you tie with $k$ others? $(v-p) / k$
what do you get if you lose? 0
when would you like to win? $v-p>0$
when would you like to lose? $v-p<0$
how can you do this? Bid $v$ - this weakly dominates everything else
the point is: the price you pay does not depend on your bid so there is no point in bidding low to get a "good price"
this is a bit unfamiliar to most people

## BDM Mechanism

This ticket is worth $\$ 2.00$ to you.
You can sell it.
Name your offer price.
A price will be posted shortly
The posted price was drawn randomly between:
[\$ 0 and \$ 6 ]
If your offer price is below the posted price then you sell your ticket at the posted price.

If your offer price is above the posted price then you do not sell your ticket but you do collect the $\$ 2.00$ value of the ticket.

You can view the posted price after you have named your price.


## Used to Elicit Values

Willingness to pay versus willingness to accept

- public goods surveys
- how much would you pay to avoid pollution?
- how much would we have to pay you to accept pollution?
- or value of a life, or climate change

Problem: people make mistakes (buy low, sell high - even when done for money)
they learn from their mistakes and permanently change their behavior but they often must learn
annoying noise experiment

## What is a Mechanism?

A mechanism is a game we design for players to play
examples:

- which is better for the seller? A first price sealed bid or second price sealed bid auction? (for a broad range of situations doesn't matter)
- the BDM mechanism to elicit value
- a matching mechanism, such as the clearing mechanism used to allocate students to universities in the UK, or to allocate kidney donors to kidney recipients
market design is the science of developing mechanisms to solve pricing and allocation problems


## Concepts

- duopoly
- game, simultaneous move game, matrix game
- Prisoner's Dilemma game
- tragedy of the commons, public good
- Pareto dominance, Pareto efficiency
- free riding, negative externality, positive externality
- strategic dominance, weak dominance
- auction, English auction, sealed bid second price auction
- BDM mechanism
- mechanism, market design


## Skill

given information about a game
find the payoff matrix, which strategies are strictly and/or weakly dominated, which outcomes are Pareto efficient
given a comparison of two outcomes in a game
determine whether there is free riding and a positive or negative externality

